Page 1 of 3. 4/9/08 3 ///

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	,
SHAWN DRU	MGOLD,) ntiff,)
Fiai)
v.) Civil Action No. 04cv11193-NG
	ALSH, et al.,) ndants)
	<u>VERDICT SLIP</u> April 4, 2008
1. Trac	ie Peaks
a.	Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Richard Walsh violated his right to a fair trial by withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors, manufacturing evidence, and/or obtaining false statements with regard to Tracie Peaks' identification of the Plaintiff?
	YES NO
b.	Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Timothy Callahan violated his right to a fair trial by withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors, manufacturing evidence, and/or obtaining false statements with regard to Tracie Peaks' identification of Plaintiff?
	YES NOX

2		Mary	Alexand	<u>er</u>
---	--	------	---------	-----------

a.	Has the Plaint preponderance Walsh violated exculpatory ev Alexander's he	of the evide his right t dence from	ence that to a fair prosecuto	Defendant trial by ors regard	Richard withholding ing Mary
	VEC	NO	×		

b. Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Timothy Callahan violated his right to a fair trial by withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors regarding Mary Alexander's health condition affecting her brain?

		✓
YES	NO	\wedge

3. Ricky Evans

a. Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Timothy Callahan violated his right to a fair trial by withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors, creating evidence, and/or obtaining false statements regarding Ricky Evans' alleged observations of Shawn Drumgold and Terrance Taylor on August 19, 1988?

		\checkmark
YES	NO	\sim

b. Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Timothy Callahan violated his right to a fair trial by withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors, manufacturing evidence, and/or obtaining false statements regarding Ricky Evans being housed at a hotel and provided with meals?

YES	NO	\times

С.	Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a
	preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Timothy
	Callahan violated his right to a fair trial by
	withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors,
	manufacturing evidence, and/or obtaining false
	statements regarding Ricky Evans being given
	substantial amounts of money?

Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a d. preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Timothy Callahan violated his right to a fair trial by withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors, manufacturing evidence, and/or obtaining false statements regarding the disposition of Ricky Evans' pending criminal cases?

YES_____ NO___X__

4. Civil Conspiracy

Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance of the evidence that:

The Defendants, Richard Walsh and Timothy Callahan, entered into an express or implied agreement between the Defendants, or between the Defendants and another, to deprive the Plaintiff of the constitutional rights to a fair trial described above?

YES

b. At least one of the alleged co-conspirators engaged in an overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy?

Plaintiff suffered an actual deprivation of constitutional rights as a result of the overt act?

YES

SO SAY WE ALL:

Foreperson (please sign)

Boston, Massachusetts

 $\frac{4/9/03}{\text{Date}}$